

Message

---

**From:** Anderson, Milo [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=7D825E9FB1EB4D9E9D0DC576DCD26C52-MANDERSO]  
**Sent:** 10/20/2017 7:55:45 PM  
**To:** Burdett, Cheryl [burdett.cheryl@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Spud Creek  
**Attachments:** Spud\_Creek2.prj; Spud\_Creek2.shp; Spud\_Creek2.shx; Sum\_Output.dbf

... oh, and here attached is the shapefile for my digitized Spud Creek.

---

**From:** Anderson, Milo  
**Sent:** Friday, October 20, 2017 2:53 PM  
**To:** Burdett, Cheryl <burdett.cheryl@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Spud Creek

Cheryl,

I decided that that unnamed tributary wasn't suitable for use as Spud Creek as the course crossed cranberry fields rather reflect the true channelization around the fields I could see on the aerial photo . The 1<sup>st</sup> attachment compares the two versions of Spud Creek (forgive the misspelling -> Spuds instead if Spud), and the 2<sup>nd</sup> attachment is the map I sent you yesterday updated to include Spud Creek.

I'll now add in the fall application fields and nutrient impaired waterbodies.

Milo

---

**From:** Anderson, Milo  
**Sent:** Friday, October 20, 2017 2:00 PM  
**To:** Burdett, Cheryl <[burdett.cheryl@epa.gov](mailto:burdett.cheryl@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Spud Creek

Cheryl,

Look at the attachment. NHD has an unnamed tributary to Cranberry Creek start just south of field N27. Should I just call this tributary 'Spud Creek' on the map?

Milo